arXiv:1412.0120v1 [math.OA] 29 Nov 2014

# A NOTE ON UNITAL FULL AMALGAMATED FREE PRODUCTS OF QUASIDIAGONAL C*-ALGEBRAS

QIHUI LI, DON HADWIN, JIANKUI LI, XIUJUAN MA, AND JUNHAO SHEN

Abstract. In the paper, we consider the question whether a unital full amalgamated free product of quasidiagonal C*-algebras is quasidiagonal again. We give a sufficient condition such that a unital full amalgamated free product of quasidiagonal C*-algebras with amalgamation over a finite dimensional C*-algebra is quasidiagonal. Applying this result, we conclude that a unital full free product of two AF algebras with amalgamation over a finite-dimensional C*-algebra is AF if there are faithful tracial states on each of these two AF algebras such that the restrictions on the common subalgebra agree.

## 1. Introduction

Quasidiagonal C*-algebras have now been studied for more than 30 years. A set $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is quasidiagonal if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and finite subsets $\omega \subseteq \Omega$ and $\chi \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ there is a finite-rank orthogonal projection $P$ such that $\|[P,T]\| < \varepsilon$ if $T \in \omega$ and $\|(1-P)h\| < \varepsilon$ if $h \in \chi$. In fact, if $\mathcal{A}$ is separable, then $\Omega$ is a quasidiagonal set of operators if and only if there exist an increasing sequence of finite rank projections, $P_1 \leq P_2 \leq \cdots$, such that, for each $a \in \Omega$, $\|[a,P_n]\| \to 0$ and $P_n \to I_{\mathcal{H}}$ (strong operator topology) as $n \to \infty$. A C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is quasidiagonal (QD) if there is a faithful representation $\rho$ such that $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ is a quasidiagonal set of operators. Recall that a faithful representation $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called essential if $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ contains no nonzero finite rank operators. Voiculescu showed that $\mathcal{A}$ is QD if and only if $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ is a quasidiagonal set of operators for a faithful essential representation $\pi$ of $\mathcal{A}$. The examples of QD algebras include all abelian C*-algebras and finite-dimensional C*-algebras as well as residually finite-dimensional C*-algebras. For more information about QD C*-algebras, we refer the reader to [6], [19], [20].

All C*-algebras in this note are unital. In [5], we know that all separable QD C*-algebras are Blackadar and Kirchberg's MF algebras. It is well known that the reduced free group C*-algebra $C_r^*(F_2)$ is not QD. Haagerup and Thorbj$\phi$rnsen showed that $C_r^*(F_2)$ is MF ([13]). This implies that the family of all separable QD C*-algebras are strictly contained in the set of MF C*-algebras.

In this note, we are interested in the question of whether the unital full free products of QD C*-algebras with amalgamation over a common finite-dimensional C*-algebra are QD again. In [15], a necessary and sufficient condition is given

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L09, 46L35.

*Key words and phrases.* quasidiagonal C*-algebras; Unital full amalgamated free products of C*-algebras.

The research of the first author is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11201146) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities as well as SRF for ROCS, SEM. .

for a unital full free product of RFD C*-algebras with amalgamation over a finite-dimensional C*-algebra to be RFD again. Similar result hold for unital MF algebras ([16]). Based on these results and the relationship among RFD C*-algebras, MF C*-algebras and QD C*-algebras, it is natural to ask whether the same things will happen when we consider QD C*-algebras. For the case when the common part of two QD C*-algebras in a unital full amalgamated free product is *-isomorphic to a full matrix algebra (Proposition 1, [15]), the answer is affirmative. In this note, we consider the case when the common part is a finite-dimensional C*-algebra. First of all, we will give two corollaries about QD C*-algebras based on Voiculescu's result. Then, we will show that, under a certain condition, a unital full amalgamated free product of two separable QD C*-algebras with amalgamation over a finite-dimensional C*-algebra is QD again. As an application, we consider the case when two unital C*-algebras in a unital full amalgamated free product are both AF. We will show that a full free product of two AF algebras with amalgamation over a finite-dimensional C*-subalgebra is a QD C*-algebra if there are faithful tracial states on each of these two AF algebras such that the restrictions on the common subalgebra agree.

A brief overview of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we fix some notation and give two corollaries about QD C*-algebras based on Voiculescu's abstract characterization. Section 3 is devoted to results on the full amalgamated free products of two unital QD C*-algebras. We first consider unital full free products of unital QD C*-algebras with amalgamation over finite-dimensional C*-subalgebras. Then we consider the case when two QD C*-algebras are both AF algebras.

## 2. Unital QD C*-algebras

The examples of QD algebras contains all RFD C*-algebras and AF algebras. Voiculescu's result (Theorem 1, [19]) give an abstract (i.e. representation free) characterization of QD C*-algebras which is crucial in the rest of this paper. In the rest of this paper, we will only be concerned with separable C*-algebras and representations on separable Hilbert spaces.

We denote the set of all bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$ by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Suppose $\{x, x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a family of elements in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We say that $x_k \to x$ in $*$-s.o.t ($*$-strong operator topology) if and only if $x_k \to x$ in s.o.t (strong operator topology) and $x_k^* \to x^*$ in s.o.t.

We use the notation $\mathrm{C}^*(x_1, x_2, \cdots)$ to denote the unital C*-algebra generated by $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots\}$. Let $\mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_n\rangle$ be the set of all noncommutative polynomials in the indeterminants $\mathbf{X}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_n$. Let $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$ denote the complex-rational numbers, i.e., the numbers whose real and imaginary parts are rational. Then the set $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle \mathbf{X}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_n\rangle$ of noncommutative polynomials with complex-rational coefficients is countable. Throughout this paper we write

$$\mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \cdots\rangle = \cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \cdots \mathbf{X}_m\rangle,$$

and

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \cdots\rangle = \cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \cdots \mathbf{X}_m\rangle.$$

Suppose $\{\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of complex matrix algebras. We introduce the C*-direct product $\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ of $\{\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as follows:

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})=\{(Y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\mid\forall\, n\geq 1,\, Y_n\in\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(C)\ \text{ and }\ \|(Y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\|=\sup_{n\geq 1}\|Y_n\|<\infty\}.$$

Furthermore, we can introduce a norm-closed two sided ideal in $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ as follows:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})=\left\{(Y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\in\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}):\lim_{n\to\infty}\|Y_n\|=0\right\}.$$

Let $\pi$ be the quotient map from $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ to $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})/\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})/\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$$

is a unital C*-algebra. If we denote $\pi\left((Y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\right)$ by $[(Y_n)_n]$, then

$$\|[(Y_n)_n]\|=\limsup_{n\to\infty}\|Y_n\|\leq\sup_n\|Y_n\|=\|(Y_n)_n\|\in\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})\tag{0}$$

Recall that a C*-algebra is residually finite-dimensional (RFD) if it has a separating family of finite-dimensional representations. If a separable C*- algebra $\mathcal{A}$ can be embedded into $\prod_k\mathcal{M}_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})/\sum_k\mathcal{M}_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$ for a sequence of positive integers $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is called an MF algebra. Many properties of MF algebras were discussed in [5]. Note that the family of all RFD C*-algebras is strictly contained in the family of all QD C*-algebras, and all QD C*-algebras are MF C*-algebras. D. Hadwin give a characterization of unital RFD C*-algebras (Theorem 6, [11]) and a similar characterization of unital MF algebras is given by Hadwin, Li and Shen in [12]. Based on proceeding characterizations of RFD C*-algebras and MF C*-algebras respectively, we are expecting to see the distinction of quasidiagonal C*-algebras.

Now, we are ready to give a corollary of Voiculescu's result (Theorem 1, [19]).

**Corollary 1.** *Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital separable C*-algebra. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is quasidiagonal if and only if, for any faithful unital essential representation $\pi:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ on a separable Hilbert space, there are sequences $\{P_n\}$ of finite-rank projections with $P_n\to I$ in s.o.t and unital completely positive mappings $\{\varphi_n\}$ from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{B}(P_n\mathcal{H}P_n)$ such that*

$$\varphi_n(a)\to\pi(a)\quad\textit{in s.o.t for any } a\in\mathcal{A}$$

*and*

$$\|\varphi_n(ab)-\varphi_n(a)\varphi_n(b)\|\to 0\ \textit{for any } a,b\in\mathcal{A}$$

*Proof.* ($\Longleftarrow$)Let $\{a_1,\cdots,a_m\}$ be a finite subset of $\mathcal{A}$, $\{x_1,x_2,\cdots\}$ be a dense subset of $(\mathcal{H})_1$. Suppose $\pi:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a faithful unital essential *-homomorphism, and $\{\varphi_n\}$ is a sequence of unital completely positive maps in the hypothesis. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, there is an integer $n_\varepsilon$ and $x_{i_1},\cdots,x_{i_m}$ such that and

$$\left\|\pi(a_j)x_{i_j}\right\|>\|a_j\|-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and

$$\left\|\varphi_{n_\varepsilon}(a_j)\,x_{i_j}-\pi(a_j)\,x_{i_j}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

as well as

$$\|\varphi_{n_\varepsilon}(ab)-\varphi_{n_\varepsilon}(a)\,\varphi_{n_\varepsilon}(b)\|<\varepsilon.$$

This implies that $\|\varphi_{n_\varepsilon}(a_j)\|\geq\|a_j\|-\varepsilon\,(j=1,\cdots,m)$ and $\|\varphi_{n_\varepsilon}(ab)-\varphi_{n_\varepsilon}(a)\,\varphi_{n_\varepsilon}(b)\|<\varepsilon$. Then by Theorem 1, [19], we have $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ is a QD algebra.

($\Rightarrow$)Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a separable quasidiagonal C*-algebra and $\pi:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a faithful unital essential representation on a separable Hilbert space. Then $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ is a quasidiagonal set. Therefore, we can find a sequence $\{P_n\}$ of projections with $P_n\to I$ in *s.o.t* such that $P_n\pi(a)\,P_n\to\pi(a)$ in s.o.t. and

$$\|P_n\pi(ab)\,P_n-P_n\pi(a)\,P\pi(b)\,P_n\|\to 0.$$

Now let $\varphi_n(a)=P_n\pi(ab)\,P_n$, then the proof is completed. □

Since each separable QD C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is MF, this implies that $\mathcal{A}$ can be embedded into $\prod\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})/\sum\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ for a sequence $\{k_m\}$ of integers. But in general, an MF algebra may not be a QD C*-algebra. Next proposition shows the difference between QD C*-algebras and general MF C*-algebras. Note that $\prod\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ can be viewed as a C*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}\left(\oplus_{m=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{C}^{k_m}\right)$. If $\mathcal{K}\left(\oplus_{m=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{C}^{k_m}\right)$ denote the set of all compact operators acting on $\oplus_{m=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{C}^{k_m}$, then it is not hard to see that $\mathcal{K}\left(\oplus_{m=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{C}^{k_m}\right)\cap\prod\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})=\sum\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $\pi:\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\to\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$ be the canonical mapping onto the Calkin algebra and $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital C*-algebra. Suppose $\varphi:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a unital completely positive map then we say that $\varphi$ is a representation modulo the compacts if $\pi\circ\varphi:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$ is a *-homomorphism. If $\pi\circ\varphi$ is injective then we say that $\varphi$ is a faithful representation modulo the compacts. The following proposition can be found in Proposition 3.1.3 and the preceding remark in [5], we include a proof for the convenience of the reader.

**Proposition 1.** *Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital separable C*-algebra, $\mathcal{A}$ is QD if and only if there is a sequence $\{k_m\}$ of integers and an embedding $\rho$ from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\prod\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})/\sum\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\rho$ can be lifted to a faithful representation of $\mathcal{A}$ into $\prod\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ modulo the compacts.*

*Proof.* ($\Longrightarrow$) Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a separable quasidiagonal C*-algebra and $\pi:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a faithful unital essential representation on a separable Hilbert space. Then, for any given $\varepsilon>0$, and $\mathcal{F}\subseteq\mathcal{A}$ finite subset, there is a representation $\rho:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ and a finite-rank orthogonal projection $P$ such that

$$\|P\rho(a)\,P\|\geq\|a\|-\varepsilon$$

$$\|[P,\rho(a)]\|\leq\varepsilon$$

by Theorem 1, [19]. Let $1\in\mathcal{F}_1\subseteq\mathcal{F}_2\subseteq\cdots$ be a sequence of finite subsets of $\mathcal{A}$ with $\overline{\cup\mathcal{F}_n}=\mathcal{A}$. Assume that $\mathcal{F}_n,P_n,\mathcal{K}_n,\varepsilon_n=n^{-2}$ and $\rho_n$ satisfy above requirements. There is no loss of generality in assuming, we assume that $\mathcal{K}_n$ is separable for each $n$. Let

$$X=\oplus_{k\geq1}\mathcal{K}_k,\quad\widetilde{\rho}=\oplus_{k\geq1}\rho_k$$

$$Y=\oplus_{k\geq1}P_k\mathcal{K}_k\subseteq X$$

Since, for each $a \in \cup\mathcal{F}_n$, there is $k_o \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|[P_k, \rho(a)]\| \leq k^{-2}$ and $\|P_k\rho(a)P_k\| \geq \|a\| - k^{-2}$ for each $k \geq k_o$, we have that $P_Y\widetilde{\rho}|_Y$ is a faithful representations modulo the compacts from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}(Y)$.

Let $k_m = \dim P_m\mathcal{K}_m$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ can be embedded into $\prod\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ such that this embedding can be lifted to a faithful representation modulo the compacts.

($\Longleftarrow$) Assume that there is a sequence $\{k_m\}$ of integers and an embedding $\rho$ from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\prod\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\rho$ can be lifted to a faithful representation $\widetilde{\rho}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ into $\prod\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}\left(\oplus_{m=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{C}^{k_m}\right)$ modulo the compacts. Let $P_m = P_{\mathbb{C}^{k_m}} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\oplus_{m=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{C}^{k_m}\right)$. Define a unital completely positive map $\varphi_n = P_n\widetilde{\rho}P_n$ from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k_m}\right) = \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\widetilde{\rho}$ is a faithful representation modulo the compacts, we have $\|\varphi_n(ab) - \varphi_n(a)\varphi_n(b)\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Suppose $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is a finite subset and $\varepsilon > 0$. Assume

$$\widetilde{\rho}(a) = (a_m)_{m=1}^{\infty} \in \prod\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C}) \text{ for } a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then $\varphi_n(a) = P_n\rho(a)P_n = a_n$. Since $\limsup_{m\to\infty}\|a_m\|_{\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})} = \|a\|$,we can find natural numbers $m_1$ and $m_2$ with $m_1 \leq m_2$ such that

$$\left|\left(\sup_{m_1\leq l\leq m_2}\|a_l\|_{\mathcal{M}_l(\mathbb{C})}\right) - \|a\|\right| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for each } a \in \mathcal{F}$$

and

$$\|(\oplus_{m_1\leq l\leq m_2}(ab)_l) - \oplus_{m_1\leq l\leq m_2}a_lb_l\| = \sup_{m_1\leq l\leq m_2}\|\varphi_l(ab) - \varphi_l(a)\varphi_l(b)\| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for } a, b \in \mathcal{F}$$

Let $k = \sum_{l=m_1}^{m_2} l$ and $\varphi = \varphi_{m_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \varphi_{m_2}$. Then $\varphi$ is a unital completely positive map from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{k_{m_1}}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{M}_{k_{m_2}}(\mathbb{C})$ with $\|\varphi(a)\| \geq \|a\| - \varepsilon$ and $\|\varphi(ab) - \varphi(a)\varphi(b)\| \leq \varepsilon$. This implies that $\mathcal{A}$ is QD by Theorem 1, [19]. □

## 3. Unital Full Amalgamated Free Product of QD algebras

Now we are ready to consider the unital full free products of two QD C*-algebra with amalgamation over a finite-dimensional C*-subalgebra.

Given $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i\in I}$ unital C*-algebras with a common unital C*-subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ and faithful conditional expectation $E_i : \mathcal{A}_i \to \mathcal{B}$, look at the algebraic free product $\mathcal{A} = \circledast_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}_i$ with amalgamation over $\mathcal{B}$, which is a $\mathcal{B}$-ring. Then $\mathcal{B}$-bimodule decompositions $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{A}_i^0$ where $\mathcal{A}_i^0 = \ker E_i = \{a - E_i(a) : a \in \mathcal{A}_i\}$ yield the following $\mathcal{B}$-bimodule decomposition ([4]):

$$\circledast_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{B} \oplus \oplus_{i\neq\cdots\neq i_n : n\geq 1}\mathcal{A}_{i_1}^0 \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{A}_{i_n}^0.$$

The full amalgamated free product of $(\mathcal{A}_i, E_i)_{i\in I}$ denoted by $*_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}_i$ is the completion of $\circledast_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}_i$ in the C*-norm.

$$\|a\| = \sup\{\|\pi(a)\| : \pi \text{ *-representation of } \circledast_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}_i\}.$$

The following example shows that a full amalgamated free product of two QD (or MF, RFD) algebras may not be QD (or MF, RFD) again, even for a unital full free product of two full matrix algebras with amalgamation over a two dimensional C*-algebra which is *-isomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$.

**Example 1.** *Let* $\mathcal{D}=\mathbb{C}\oplus\mathbb{C}$. *Suppose* $\varphi_1:\mathcal{D}\to\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ *and* $\varphi_2:\mathcal{D}\to\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ *are unital embeddings such that*

$$\varphi_1(1\oplus 0)=\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&0\end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \varphi_2(1\oplus 0)=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&0&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}$$

*Then* $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})\underset{\mathcal{D}}{*}\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ *is not QD. Note that every QD algebra has a nontrivial tracial state by 2.4* [20]. *If we assume that* $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})\underset{\mathcal{D}}{*}\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ *is QD, then there exists a tracial state* $\tau$ *on* $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})\underset{\mathcal{D}}{*}\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$. *So the restrictions of* $\tau$ *on* $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ *and* $\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ *are the unique tracial states on* $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ *and* $\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ *respectively. It follows that* $\tau(\varphi_1(1\oplus 0))=\frac{1}{2}\neq\tau(\varphi_2(1\oplus 0))=\frac{1}{3}$ *which contradicts to the fact that* $\varphi_1(1\oplus 0)=\varphi_2(0\oplus 1)$ *in* $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})\underset{\mathcal{D}}{*}\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$. *Therefore* $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})\underset{\mathcal{D}}{*}\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ *is not QD.*

The following lemma is well known.

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose* $\mathcal{A}=C^*(x_1,x_2,\cdots)$ *and* $\mathcal{B}=C^*(y_1,y_2,\cdots)$ *are unital C*-algebras. Then there is a unital *-homomorphism from* $\mathcal{A}$ *to* $\mathcal{B}$ *sending each* $x_k$ *to* $y_k$, *if and only if, for each* $*$*-polynomial* $P\in\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle\mathbf{X}_1,\mathbf{X}_2,\cdots\rangle$, *we have*

$$\|P(x_1,x_2,\cdots)\|\geq\|P(y_1,y_2,\cdots)\|.$$

**Lemma 2.** *(Corollary 4,* [4]*) Given* $(\mathcal{A}_i,E_i)_{i\in I}$ *and* $(\mathcal{B}_i,F_i)_{i\in I}$ *with* $E_i:\mathcal{A}_i\to\mathcal{B}$, $F_i:\mathcal{B}_i\to\mathcal{B}$ *faithful projections of norm one onto the unital C*-subalgebra* $\mathcal{B}$ *and the* $\mathcal{B}$*-linear completely positive maps* $\varphi_i:\mathcal{A}_i\to\mathcal{B}_i$, *there is a common extension* $\Phi:*_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}_i\to*_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{B}_i$ *which is* $\mathcal{B}$*-linear and completely positive.*

For showing our main result in this section, we need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.** *(Proposition 2.2,* [1]*)Let*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}\supseteq\mathcal{A}\supseteq\mathcal{D}\subseteq\mathcal{B}\subseteq\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$$

*be inclusions of C*-algebras and let* $\mathcal{A}*_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{B}$ *and* $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}*_{\mathcal{D}}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ *be the corresponding full amalgamated free product C*-algebras. Let* $\lambda:\mathcal{A}*_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{B}\to\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}*_{\mathcal{D}}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ *be the *-homomorphism arising via the universal property form the inclusions* $\mathcal{A}\hookrightarrow\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ *and* $\mathcal{B}\hookrightarrow\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. *Then* $\lambda$ *is injective.*

**Lemma 4.** *(Corollary 2,* [15]*) Suppose that* $\mathcal{A}$ *is a separable unital RFD C*-algebra and* $\mathcal{D}$ *is a unital finite-dimensional C*-subalgebra of* $\mathcal{A}$. *Then* $\mathcal{A}*_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{A}$ *is RFD.*

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose C*-algebras* $\mathcal{A}_1$ *and* $\mathcal{A}_2$ *are unital QD algebras and* $\mathcal{D}$ *is a common unital finite-dimensional C*-subalgebra. If there is a sequence* $\{k_n\}$ *of integers such that* $\mathcal{A}_1$ *and* $\mathcal{A}_2$ *can be both unital embedding into* $\prod\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})/\sum\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$, *and these two unital embeddings can be lifted to faithful representations*

$$q_{\mathcal{A}_1}:\mathcal{A}_1\to\prod\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C}) \text{ and } q_{\mathcal{A}_2}:\mathcal{A}_2\to\prod\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$$

*modulo the compacts respectively satisfying* $q_{\mathcal{A}_1}$, $q_{\mathcal{A}_2}$ *agree on* $\mathcal{D}$, $q_{\mathcal{A}_i}|_{\mathcal{D}}$ *is a unital faithful *-homomorphism and* $q_{\mathcal{A}_1},q_{\mathcal{A}_2}$ *are* $\mathcal{D}$*-linear in the sense that* $q_{\mathcal{A}_i}(dx)=q_{\mathcal{A}_i}(d)\,q_{\mathcal{A}_i}(x)$ *for* $d\in\mathcal{D}$ *and* $x\in\mathcal{A}_i$ $(i=1$ *or* $2)$, *then* $\mathcal{A}_1*_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{A}_2$ *is QD.*

*Proof.* Suppose $E_i : \mathcal{A}_i \to \mathcal{D}$ $(i = 1, 2)$ is a faithful conditional expectation, let $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{A}_i^0$ be the $\mathcal{D}$-bimodule decomposition of $\mathcal{A}_i$ with respect to $E_i$.

Assume $\mathcal{F}$ is a finite subset of $\mathcal{A}_1 *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{A}_2$ which contains only two elements. Then $\mathcal{F} = \{d_1 + a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_s}, d_2 + b_{k_1} \cdots b_{k_t}\}$ where $d_1, d_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ and $a_{i_h} \in \mathcal{A}_{i_h}^0, b_{k_l} \in \mathcal{A}_{k_l}^0$ as well as $i_1 \neq \cdots \neq i_s$, $k_1 \neq \cdots \neq k_t$. Since $a_{i_k}$ and $b_{k_t}$ are all in $\mathcal{A}_1$ or $\mathcal{A}_2$ for each $i_k \in \{i_1, \cdots, i_s\}$ and $k_t \in \{k_1, \cdots, k_t\}$ ,without loss of generality, we may assume that either

$$\mathcal{F} = \{d_1 + a_{1,1}a_{2,1}a_{1,2}a_{2,2}a_{1,3} \cdots a_{1,n}, d_2 + b_{1,1}b_{2,1}b_{1,2}b_{2,2}b_{1,3} \cdots b_{1,m}\}$$

or

$$\mathcal{F} = \{d_1 + a_{1,1}a_{2,1}a_{1,2}a_{2,2}a_{1,3} \cdots a_{1,n}, d_2 + b_{2,1}b_{1,1}b_{2,2}b_{1,2}b_{2,3} \cdots b_{2,m}\}$$

where

$$\{a_{1,1}, a_{1,2}, \cdots, a_{1,n}, b_{1,1}, b_{1,2}, \cdots, b_{1,m}\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1^0$$

and

$$\{a_{2,1}, a_{2,2}, \cdots, a_{2,n}, b_{2,1}, b_{2,2}, \cdots, b_{2,m}\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2^0.$$

For the case when

$$\mathcal{F} = \{d_1 + a_{1,1}a_{2,1}a_{1,2}a_{2,2}a_{1,3} \cdots a_{1,n}, d_2 + b_{1,1}b_{2,1}b_{1,2}b_{2,2}b_{1,3} \cdots b_{1,m}\},$$

we have $a_{1,n} \cdot b_{11}$ and $b_{1,m} \cdot a_{11}$ are both in $\mathcal{A}_1$. So we can find an integer $N_0$ such that

$$\widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_1} : \mathcal{A}_1 \to \prod_{k_m \geq k_{N_0}} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C}), \ \widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_2} : \mathcal{A}_2 \to \prod_{k_m \geq k_{N_0}} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$$

are faithful representations modulo the compacts respectively with

$$\|\widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_i}(a_{i,j})\| \leq \|a_{i,j}\| + 1, \|\widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(b_{k,l})\| \leq \|b_{k,l}\| + 1 \tag{2}$$

where $i, k \in \{1, 2\}, 1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq l \leq m$. Meanwhile, we also require that

(3)

$$\|\widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(a_{1,n}b_{11}) - \widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(a_{1,n})\widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(b_{1,1})\| \text{ and } \|\widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(b_{1,m}a_{1,1}) - \widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(b_{1,m})\widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(a_{1,1})\|$$
$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{(\|a_{1,1}\| + 1)(\|a_{2,1}\| + 1) \cdots (\|a_{1,n}\| + 1)(\|b_{1,1}\| + 1)(\|b_{2,1}\| + 1) \cdots (\|b_{1,m}\| + 1)}.$$

Since $\widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_1}|_{\mathcal{D}} = \widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_2}|_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a unital faithful *-homomorphism, by Lemma 2, we have a unital completely positive map

$$\Phi = \widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_1} * \widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_2} : \mathcal{A}_1 *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{A}_2 \to \prod_{k_m \geq k_{N_0}} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C}) *_{\mathcal{D}} \prod_{k_m \geq k_{N_0}} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$$

with $\Phi|_{\mathcal{A}_1} = \widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_1}$, $\Phi|_{\mathcal{A}_2} = \widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_2}$.

Suppose

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = C^*(z_1, \cdots, z_l, x_1, \cdots, a_{1,1}, \cdots, a_{1,n}, b_{1,1}, \cdots, b_{1,m})$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = C^*(z_1, \cdots, z_l, y_1, \cdots, a_{2,1}, \cdots, a_{2,n-1}, b_{2,1}, \cdots b_{2,m-1})$$

where $\mathcal{D} = C^*(z_1, \cdots, z_l)$. Assume that $X_i(N_0) = \widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(x_i), Y_i(N_0) = \widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_2}(y_i)$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $D_j(N_0) = \widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(z_j) = \widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_2}(z_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$ and

$$A_{1,1}(N_0) = q_{\mathcal{A}_1}(a_{1,1}), \cdots, A_{1,n}(N_0) = q_{\mathcal{A}_1}(a_{1,n}),$$
$$A_{2,1}(N_0) = q_{\mathcal{A}_2}(a_{2,1}), \cdots, A_{2,n-1}(N_0) = q_{\mathcal{A}_2}(a_{2,n-1})$$

as well as

$$B_{1,1}(N_0) = q_{\mathcal{A}_1}(b_{1,1}), \cdots, B_{1,m}(N_0) = q_{\mathcal{A}_1}(b_{1,m}),$$

$$B_{2,1}(N_0) = q_{\mathcal{A}_2}(b_{2,1}), \cdots, B_{2,m-1}(N_0) = q_{\mathcal{A}_2}(b_{2,m-1}).$$

Then let

$$\mathcal{A}_1^{N_0} = C^*\left(\{D_m(N_0)\}_{m=1}^{l}, \{X_i(N_0)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, \{A_{1,1}(N_0), \cdots, A_{1,n}(N_0)\}, \{B_{1,1}(N_0), \cdots, B_{1,m}(N_0)\}\right)$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_2^{N_0} = C^*\left(\{D_m(N_0)\}_{m=1}^{l}, \{Y(N_0)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, \{A_{2,1}(N_0), \cdots, A_{2,n-1}(N_0)\}, \{B_{2,1}(N_0), \cdots, B_{2,m-1}(N_0)\}\right)$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{A}_1^{N_0}$ and $\mathcal{A}_2^{N_0}$ are unital C*-subalgebras of $\prod_{k_m \geq k_{N_0}} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$. So, by Lemma 1 and inequality (0), there is a *-homomorphism

$$\pi_1^{N_0} : \mathcal{A}_1^{N_0} \to \mathcal{A}_1 *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{A}_2$$

with $\pi_1^{N_0}(C^*(D_1(N_0), \cdots, D_l(N_0))) = \mathcal{D}$ and a *-homomorphism

$$\pi_2^{N_0} : \mathcal{A}_2^{N_0} \to \mathcal{A}_1 *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{A}_2$$

with $\pi_1^{N_0}|_{C^*(D_1(N_0),\cdots,D_l(N_0))} = \pi_2^{N_0}|_{C^*(D_1(N_0),\cdots,D_l(N_0))}$. Therefore, we have a homomorphism

$$\pi^{N_0} : \mathcal{A}_1^{N_0} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{A}_2^{N_0} \to \mathcal{A}_1 *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{A}_2$$

such that $\pi^{N_0}|_{\mathcal{A}_1^{N_0}} = \pi_1^{N_0}$ and $\pi^{N_0}|_{\mathcal{A}_2^{N_0}} = \pi_2^{N_0}$. By Lemma 3, we may treat $\mathcal{A}_1^{N_0} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{A}_2^{N_0}$ as a C*-subalgebra of $\prod_{k_m \geq k_{N_0}} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C}) *_{\mathcal{D}} \prod_{k_m \geq k_{N_0}} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^{N_0}(\Phi(d_1 + a_{1,1}a_{2,1}\cdots a_{1,n})) &= \pi^{N_0}(q_{\mathcal{A}_1}(d_1) + q_{\mathcal{A}_1}(a_{1,1})\, q_{\mathcal{A}_2}(a_{2,1}) \cdots q_{\mathcal{A}_1}(a_{1,n})) \\ &= d_1 + a_{1,1}a_{2,1}\cdots a_{1,n}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|\Phi(d_1 + a_{1,1}a_{2,1}\cdots a_{1,n})\| \geq \|d_1 + a_{1,1}a_{2,1}\cdots a_{1,n}\|, \tag{4}$$

similarly,

$$\|\Phi(d_2 + b_{1,1}b_{2,1}\cdots b_{1,m})\| \geq \|d_2 + b_{1,1}b_{2,1}\cdots b_{1,m}\|. \tag{5}$$

From Lemma 4, we know that $\prod_{k_m \geq k_{N_0}} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C}) *_{\mathcal{D}} \prod_{k_m \geq k_{N_0}} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ is RFD, then it can be unital embedded into $\prod \mathcal{M}_{l_m}$ for a sequence $\{l_m\}$ of integers. So by Theorem 6, [11], there is a sequence of finite-rank projection $\{P_n\}$ on $\oplus_{m=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{C}^{l_m}$ and *-representation

$$\varphi_n : \prod_{k_m \geq k_{N_0}} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C}) *_{\mathcal{D}} \prod_{k_m \geq k_{N_0}} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}\left(P_n\left(\oplus_{m=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{C}^{l_m}\right)P_n\right)$$

such that

$$\varphi_n(a) \to a \ \ (s.o.t) \ \text{ for each } a \in \prod_{k_m \geq k_{N_0}} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C}) *_{\mathcal{D}} \prod_{k_m \geq k_{N_0}} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C}).$$

So, for $\Phi(d_1 + a_{1,1}a_{2,1}\cdots a_{1,n})$ and $\Phi(d_2 + b_{1,1}b_{2,1}\cdots b_{1,m})$ in $\prod_{k_m \geq k_{N_0}} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C}) *_{\mathcal{D}} \prod_{k_m \geq k_{N_0}} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$, there is $M$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varphi_M(\Phi(d_1 + a_{1,1}a_{2,1}\cdots a_{1,n}))\| &\geq \|\Phi(d_1 + a_{1,1}a_{2,1}\cdots a_{1,n})\| - \varepsilon \\ &\geq \|d_1 + a_{i_1}\cdots a_{i_s}\| - \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

by (4) and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varphi_M(\Phi(d_2 + b_{1,1}b_{2,1}\cdots b_{1,m}))\| &\geq \|\Phi(d_2 + b_{1,1}b_{2,1}\cdots b_{1,m})\| - \varepsilon \\ &\geq \|d_2 + b_{1,1}b_{2,1}\cdots b_{1,m}\| - \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

by (5). Meanwhile, note that $q_{\mathcal{A}_i}$ is $\mathcal{D}$-linear and by inequalities (2) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\varphi_M(\Phi((d_2 + a_{1,1}\cdots a_{1,n})(d_1 + b_{1,1}\cdots b_{1,m}))) - \varphi_M(\Phi(d_2 + a_{1,1}\cdots a_{1,n})\Phi(d_1 + b_{1,1}\cdots b_{1,m}))\| \\ &\leq \|\widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(a_{1,1})\cdots\widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_2}(a_{2,n-1})\|\,\|\widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(a_{1,n}b_{1,1}) - \widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(a_{1,n})\widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(b_{1,1})\|\,\|\widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_2}(b_{2,1})\cdots\widetilde{q}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(b_{1,m})\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\varphi_M(\Phi((d_2 + b_{1,1}\cdots b_{1,m})(d_1 + a_{1,1}\cdots a_{1,n}))) - \varphi_M(\Phi(d_2 + b_{1,1}\cdots b_{1,m})\Phi(d_1 + a_{1,1}\cdots a_{1,n}))\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

For the case

$$\mathcal{F} = \{d_1 + a_{1,1}a_{2,1}a_{1,2}a_{2,2}a_{1,3}\cdots a_{1,n}, d_2 + b_{2,1}b_{1,1}b_{2,2}b_{1,2}b_{2,3}\cdots b_{2,m}\},$$

we can use a similar discussion and notice that

$$\varphi_M(\Phi((d_1 + a_{1,1}\cdots a_{1,n})(d_2 + b_{2,1}\cdots b_{2,m}))) = \varphi_M(\Phi(d_1 + a_{1,1}\cdots a_{1,n})\Phi(d_2 + b_{2,1}\cdots b_{2,m}))$$

and

$$\varphi_M(\Phi((d_2 + b_{2,1}\cdots b_{2,m})(d_1 + a_{1,1}\cdots a_{1,n}))) = \varphi_M(\Phi(d_2 + b_{2,1}\cdots b_{2,m})\Phi(d_1 + a_{1,1}\cdots a_{1,n}))$$

in this case. It follows that the map $\Phi_M = \varphi_M \circ \Phi$ is a unital completely positive mapping from $\mathcal{A}_1 *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{A}_2$ to $\mathcal{B}\left(P_n\left(\oplus_{m=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{C}^{l_m}\right)P_n\right)$ such that

$$\|\Phi_M(a)\| \geq \|a\| - \varepsilon \text{ and } \|\Phi_M(ab) - \Phi_M(a)\Phi_M(b)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for $a, b \in \mathcal{F}$. Using a similar argument for any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1 *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{A}_2$, we conclude that $\mathcal{A}_1 *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{A}_2$ is QD by Theorem 1, [19]. □

For showing the following corollary, we need a lemma.

**Lemma 5.** *(Theorem III.3.4, [8])A C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is AF if and only if it is separable and :*

*(*) for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $A_1, \cdots, A_n$ in $\mathcal{A}$, there exists a finite dimensional C*-subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $dist(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}) < \varepsilon$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

*Moreover, if $\mathcal{A}_1$ is a finite-dimensional subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$, then we may choose $\mathcal{B}$ so that it contains $\mathcal{A}_1$.*

**Corollary 2.** *Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are both AF algebras, $\mathcal{D}$ is a common unital finite-dimensional C*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$. If there are faithful tracial states $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively, such that*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{D},$$

*then $\mathcal{A}*_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{B}$ is QD.*

*Proof.* Assume that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ are families of generators in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively. Note that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are AF algebras, $\mathcal{D}$ is a finite-dimensional subalgebra. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there are finite dimensional C*-subalgebras $\mathcal{A}_N \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}_N \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\max_{1\leq n\leq N}\{dist(x_n, \mathcal{A}_N), dist(y_n, \mathcal{B}_N)\} \leq \frac{1}{N} \tag{6}$$

and $\mathcal{A}_N \supset \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{B}_N$ by Lemma 5. Note that $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(x),\ \forall\ x \in \mathcal{D}$. From the argument in the proof of Theorem 4.2 [1], there are rational faithful tracial states on $\mathcal{A}_N$ and $\mathcal{B}_N$ such that their restrictions on $\mathcal{D}$ agree. This implies that there is a positive integer $k_N$ such that

$$\mathcal{M}_{k_N}(\mathbb{C}) \supseteq \mathcal{A}_N \supseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_N \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{k_N}(\mathbb{C}).$$

So there are conditional expectations $E_{\mathcal{A}}^N : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}_N$ and $E_{\mathcal{B}}^N : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}_N$such that $E_{\mathcal{A}}^N(x) = E_{\mathcal{B}}^N(x)$ for any $x \in \mathcal{D}$, we can define

$$E_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \to \prod_{n\geq N}\mathcal{A}_N \subseteq \prod_{n\geq N}\mathcal{M}_{k_N}(\mathbb{C})$$

by $E_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = \left(E_{\mathcal{A}}^N(a), E_{\mathcal{A}}^{N+1}(a), \cdots\right)$ and

$$E_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \to \prod_{n\geq N}\mathcal{B}_N \subseteq \prod_{n\geq N}\mathcal{M}_{k_N}(\mathbb{C})$$

by $E_{\mathcal{B}}(a) = \left(E_{\mathcal{B}}^N(a), E_{\mathcal{B}}^{N+1}(a), \cdots\right)$. It follows that $E_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ are unital completely positive maps, $E_{\mathcal{A}}|_{\mathcal{D}} = E_{\mathcal{B}}|_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $E_{\mathcal{A}}|_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a faithful unital *-homomorphism of $\mathcal{D}$. From (6), it is not hard to see that $E_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ are unital faithful representations from $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ into $\prod_{n\geq N}\mathcal{M}_{k_N}(\mathbb{C})$ modulo the compacts respectively. Then $\mathcal{A}*_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{B}$ is quasidiagonal by Theorem 1. □

It is unknown whether the requirement that two AF algebras $\mathcal{A}_i$ have a pair of faithful traces $\tau_i$ on $\mathcal{A}_i$ which agree on $\mathcal{D}$ is a necessary condition in proceeding theorem. But if the embeddings from $\mathcal{D}$ into $\mathcal{A}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ are not unital, then $\mathcal{A}_1 *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{A}_2$ could be QD, even though there are no such faithful traces agree on $\mathcal{D}$. For example, Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{C}$. Suppose $\varphi_1 : \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $\varphi_2 : \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ are embeddings such that $\varphi_1(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\varphi_2(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \underset{\mathbb{C}}{*} \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ is QD by Chapter 6 [17]. When $\dim \mathcal{D} \geq 2$, we do not have a a satisfactory answer yet.

**Corollary 3.** *Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is an AF algebra and $\mathcal{D}$ is a finite-dimensional C*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{A}*_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{A}$ is quasidiagonal.*

*Proof.* It is an easy consequence of Corollary 2. □

**Remark 1.** *Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is an AF algebra and $\mathcal{B} = \overline{\cup_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{B}_i} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is an AF subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$. From Proposition 4.12 in* [18]*, we have that $\mathcal{A}*_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A} = \lim \mathcal{A}*_{\mathcal{B}_i}\mathcal{A}$. But in general inductive limits of QD C*-algebras may not be QD again. However, by Theorem 4* [16]*, we have that $\mathcal{A}*_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}$ is an MF algebra.*

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her thorough review and very useful comments.

## References


[1] S. Armstrong, K. Dykema, R. Exel and H. Li, On embeddings of full amalgamated free product C*-algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004), 2019-2030.

[2] F. Boca, A note on full free product C*-algebras, lifting and quasidiagonality, Operator Theory, Operator Algebras and Related Topics (Proc. of the 16th Op.)

[3] N. P. Brown and K. J. Dykema, Popa algebras in free group factors, J. reine angew. Math 573 (2004), 157-180.

[4] F. Boca, Completely positive maps on amalgamated product C*-algebras, Math. Scand.72(1993), 212-222.

[5] B. Blackadar and E. Kirchberg, Generalized inductive limits of finite dimensional C*-algebras, Math. Ann. 307 (1997), 343-380.

[6] N.P. Brown, On quasidiagonal C*-algebras, Operator algebras and applications, 19–64, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 38, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2004.

[7] Nathanial P. Brown and Narutaka Ozawa, Algebras and Finite-Dimensional Approximations, American Mathematical Society (March 12, 2008).

[8] K. Davidson, C*-algebras by Example, Amer Mathematical Society (June 1996).

[9] R. Exel and T. Loring, Finite-dimensional representations of free product C -algebras, Internat. J. Math. 3 (1992), no. 4, 469–476.

[10] D. Hadwin, Nonseparable approximate equivalence, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 266 (1981), no. 1, 203-231.

[11] D. Hadwin, Free Products of generalized RFD C*-algebras, arXiv:1108.0049v1[math. OA].

[12] D. Hadwin, Q. Li and J. Shen, Topological free entropy dimensions in Nuclear C*-algebras and in Full Free Products of Unital C*-algebras,

[13] U. Haagerup, S. Thorbj$\phi$rnsen, A new application of random matrices: $Ext(C^*_{red}(F_2))$ is not a group, Ann. of Math. (2) 162 (2005), no. 2, 711–775.

[14] R. Kadison, J. Ringrose, Fundamentals of the Operator Algebras (Academic, Orlando, FL), (1983, 1986)Vols. 1 and 2.

[15] Qihui Li and Junhao Shen, On RFD property of unital full amalgamated free products of RFD C*-algebras, Illinois J. Math, to appear.

[16] Qihui Li and Junhao Shen, Full Amalgamated Free Products of MF Algebras, Operators and Matrices,Vol.7(2013), 333-356.

[17] T. Loring, Lifting Solutions to Perturbing Problems in C -algebras Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1997.

[18] Gert K. Pedersen, Pullback and Pushout Constructions in C*-algebra Theory, Journal Functional Analysis 167, 243-344(1999)

[19] D. Voiculescu, A note on quasi-diagonal C*-algebras and homotopy, Duke Mathematical Journal Vol.62 (1991), No. 2, 267-271.

[20] D. Voiculescu, Around quasidiagonal operators, Integr. Equ. and Op. Thy.17 (1993), 137 - 149.



Department of Mathematics, East China University of Science and Technology, Meilong Road 130, 200237 Shanghai, China.

*E-mail address*: lqh991978@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, USA, URL: http://euclid.unh.edu/~don

*E-mail address*: don@unh.edu

Department of Mathematics, East China University of Science and Technology, Meilong Road 130, 200237 Shanghai, China.

*E-mail address*: s: jiankuili@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics, Hebei University of Technology, Tianjing, 300130, China

*E-mail address*: mxjsusan@hebut.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, USA

*E-mail address*: junhao.shen@unh.edu